

Planning proposal to Parade, Dee Why	o formalise the permissibility	of 'commercial premis	ses' at 158 Pacific	
Proposal Title :	Planning proposal to formalise t Parade, Dee Why	the permissibility of 'comr	nercial premises' at 158 Pacific	
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks to formalise permissibility of 'commercial premises' for land at 158 Pacific Parade, Dee Why (Lot 1 DP 34753) under Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011.			
PP Number :	PP_2014_WARRI_002_00	Dop File No :	14/16492	
Proposal Details				
÷				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	26-Sep-2014	LGA covered :	Warringah	
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Warringah Council	
State Electorate :	WAKEHURST	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details				
Street : 158	8 Pacific Parade			
Suburb : De	e Why City :	Sydney	Postcode: 2099	
Land Parcel : Lot	t 1 DP34753 - B2 Local Centre and	R3 Medium Density Resid	ential	
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Lee McCourt			
Contact Number :	0285754129			
Contact Email :	lee.mccourt@planning.nsw.gov.a	u		
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Amber Pedersen			
Contact Number :	0299422111			
Contact Email :	amber.pedersen@warringah.nsw	.gov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Tim Archer			
Contact Number :	0285754120			
Contact Email :				
Land Release Data	a			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North East subregion	Consistent with Strategy	Yes	

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created ;	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?	No		
If Yes, comment :	The Department is not awa with regard to this planning	re of any meetings or communicat g proposal	tions with registered lobbyists
Supporting notes	-		
Internal Supporting Notes :	The site is located on the southern edge of the Dee Why Beach front retail precinct. Lawfully established on the land are three businesses, an Indian restaurant, laundromat and real estate agency.		
		Environmental Plan 2011, the land (95% of the site) and B2 local cer	
		nately residential zoning, it is occu ited land uses in a R3 zone (i.e. re	
		nd Schedule 1 under Warringah L use 'commerical premises' for 158	
	Council resolved to suppor proceeding to Gateway.	rt the planning proposal for 158 Pa	acific Parade, Dee Why,
		egation to carry out the Minister's rest this planning proposal.	function under section 59 of
		an amended planning proposal pro rs the proposal suitable for delega	
External Supporting Notes :			
equacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the obj	ectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the obj			
Comment :		was adaguately describes the inte	ntion of the planning proposal to

Explanation of provis	ions provided - s55((2)(b)
Is an explanation of provi	sions provided? Yes	
Comment :	The explanation of pro Warringah Local Envir	ovisions adequately addresses the intended changes to the ronmental Plan 2011.
Justification - s55 (2)	(c)	
a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by the Di	irector General? No
b) S.117 directions identit	fied by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
* May need the Director (General's agreement	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydne <u>y</u> 2036
Is the Director Genera	I's agreement required?	Yes
c) Consistent with Standa	ard Instrument (LEPs) Orc	der 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have the	e RPA identified?	SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		
Have inconsistencies with	n items a), b) and d) being	g adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	S.117 DIRECTIONS	3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES
	The proposal is inco residential density o	onsistent with this direction as it may impact on the permissible of the land.
		s predominately utilised for commercial purposes and the proposal nent on site as a permissible use.
	compatible with the	vith this direction is justified as the current land uses on site are surrounding employment land uses and will allow for additional uting to local employment figures for the area. The Department tency to be minor.
	SEPP (EXEMPT AND	D COMPLYING DEVELOPMENT CODES) 2008
	The proposal allows carried out as comp	s for existing and future commercial development of the site to be lying development.
Mapping Provided - s	:55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Ye	S	
Comment :		
Community consulta	tion - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consultat	tion been proposed? Yes	
Comment :	A 14 day exhibition	period is proposed.
	PROJECT TIMELINE	
	The planning propos 2015.	sal contains an estimated project time line for completion by April

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in W relation to Principal LEP :

Warringah Local Environmental Plan was notified in 2011.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is not a result of strategic studies.
proposal .	Council feels the planning proposal is the best way of providing certainty for the landowner and existing/future tenants by formalising the permissibility of commercial premises on the site.
	Council considers the current land use on site to be lawfully established meaning the land use commenced with consent or was permissible without consent under the previous instrument as being protected.
	Therefore the proponent has existing land use rights as the existing 'commercial premises' are permitted to continue operating (under earlier consent) and possibly expand regardless of zoning. An existing land use will only be lost if it ceases to be actually used for a period of 12 months.
	Clause 2.5 of the Standard Instrument Order allows councils to permit additional uses for particular land. These uses are permitted in addition to those identified in the LEP Land Use Table. An amendment to Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses would ensure the planning controls most closely reflect the existing land uses on site.
	Notwithstanding the above, the planning proposal offers a number of arguments in favour of a rezoning which would ensure that 'commercial premises' is a permitted use.
	Ideally a Schedule 1 should only be used in exceptional circumstances, land use permissibility should preferably be controlled by the zones and Land Use Table. A rezoning consistent with the adjacent Dee Why Beach Precinct (B2 Local Centre) would be a more acceptable solution to progress this matter and provide certainty to the landowner.
	It is considered that a rezoning is consistent with Council's intention for the site, and is not a significant variation from the original proposal to make commercial uses permissible via Schedule 1.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 (2010) draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney 2031 (2013) as it supports growth within metropolitan Sydney and contributes to providing certainty for existing employment us			
	opportunities in th East Sub-regional	e future. This Strategy (200 ost the local	s is also consistent with the o 07) and supported Northern E economy by supporting sma	w for additional employment overall intent of the draft North Beaches Regional Action Plan Il businesses to create more
		oyment targe		ribute to achieving the ic and employment role of Dee
Environmental social economic impacts :	The proposal will ensure the ongoing use of the site for commercial purpos for expansion of similar employment uses. In addition the proposal strengt economic and employment role of the Dee Why Beach front precinct.			roposal strengthens the
	It is therefore considered that the environmental, social and economic impacts of the proposed change are minor in nature. Although no negative impacts are envisaged from this proposal management and minimisation of such issues will be dealt with through a merit assessment at DA stage.			
ssessment Proces	ŝS			
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :				
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional s	studies, if required.			
lf Other, provide reaso	ns :			
Identify any internal co	nsultations, if required	:		
No internal consultation	on required			

usiness and Industrial Z esidential Zones tegrating Land Use and iplementation of the Me ecommended that the p tions:		Yes Yes Yes
tion basal supported at this star usiness and Industrial Z esidential Zones tegrating Land Use and uplementation of the Me ecommended that the pl tions:	ge : Recommended with Conditions Cones Transport tropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	
osal supported at this star usiness and Industrial Z esidential Zones tegrating Land Use and uplementation of the Me ecommended that the pl tions:	Cones Transport tropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	llowing
usiness and Industrial Z esidential Zones tegrating Land Use and iplementation of the Me ecommended that the p tions:	Cones Transport tropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	llowing
esidential Zones tegrating Land Use and uplementation of the Me ecommended that the p tions: or to undertaking public	Transport tropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	llowing
ecommended that the pl tions: or to undertaking public		llowing
e the site B2 Local Cent	exhibition, Council is to update the plann tre, as opposed to using a Schedule 1.	ing proposal to
de a Land Use Zoning M	exhibition, Council is to update the plann ap which clearly shows both the existing a	- · ·
-	s required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 o	f the EP&A Act as
he planning proposal m	nust be made publicly available for a minin	num of 14 days;
ition of planning proposition of planning proposition of planning with	sals and the specifications for material tha planning proposals as identified in section	t must be made
on 56(2)(e) of the EP&A of the the second to conduct the second to conduct the second to conduct to	Act. This does not discharge Council fron act a public hearing (for example, in respon	any obligation it
	-	ek following the
edback on the proposa		-
74		
	de a Land Use Zoning M ols for the site. map should be prepared irements for LEP Maps mmunity consultation is vs: the planning proposal m the relevant planning au ition of planning propos cly available along with eparing LEPs (Departme sublic hearing is not req on 56(2)(e) of the EP&A otherwise have to condu- ission or if reclassifying a timeframe for complet of the Gateway determin pepartment supports the	de a Land Use Zoning Map which clearly shows both the existing a ols for the site. map should be prepared to the standards identified in Standard Te irements for LEP Maps (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2 mmunity consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 o vs: the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minim the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requir ition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that cly available along with planning proposals as identified in section eparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2013). Sublic hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any per- on 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in respor ission or if reclassifying land). The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the weap of the Gateway determination.